

The 1611 King James Bible is Reliable!

1st Corinthians 14:33, "For God is not the author of confusion, but of peace, as in all churches of the saints."

Satan is the author of confusion, remember that. If Satan can't get you to deny the Scriptures, then he'll try to get you to doubt the Scriptures. If Satan can't get you to deny or doubt the Scriptures, then he'll try to confuse you concerning "which Bible" to use. The 1611 King James Bible is God's inspired, infallible, inerrant, impeccable and preserved Words of God. That's what it is! We have God's inspired Words in the English-speaking language, without error!



I fully realize that most King James Bibles published today are based upon the 1769 Oxford text (an edition of the 1611 version). The authorized 1611 King James Version has never been revised, only edited. The main purpose of the 1762 and 1769 editions was the standardization of spelling. This in no way altered the meaning or preservation or inspiration of the 1611 King James Bible. We can trust our King James Bible.

WAS THE 1611 KING JAMES BIBLE DIFFERENT FROM THOSE WE HAVE TODAY? The following authoritative answer is by Dr. Donald Waite of *Bible for Today* ministry:

"The question is, how great were those revisions? How much has the wording changed? That is why I compared the present day Old Scofield King James Version with the original 1611. Some say there are 40,000 to 50,000 changes, and if you listened to them, you would think we don't have anything like the original today.

[The changes, though, are largely related to spelling.] For instance, take John 9, the account of the man born blind. Now, the word 'blind' in verse 1 is spelled 'blinde.' It's a change. But is 'blind' any different from 'blinde'? If

that is a change you're talking about, it doesn't affect the ear. Now, in the second verse, 'sin' is spelled 'sinne.' That is a change. Then the word 'born' is spelled 'borne.' But the sound is the same.

What I did, was to count only the changes that could be HEARD. And from Genesis to Revelation, did I get 30,000? No. Did I get 20,000? No. 1,000? No. I got 421 CHANGES TO THE EAR, that could be heard, out of the 791,328 words. Just 421. That is actually one change out of 1,880 words. As for those 421 CHANGES to the ear--most of them were minor, just changes in spelling.

There were ONLY 136 SUBSTANTIAL CHANGES that were different words. The others were only 285 MINOR CHANGES OF FORM ONLY. Of these 285 MINOR CHANGES, there are 214 VERY MINOR CHANGES such as 'towards' for 'toward'; 'burnt' for 'burned'; 'amongst' for 'among'; 'lift' for 'lifted'; and 'you' for 'ye.' These kinds of changes represent 214 out of the 285 minor changes of form only. "Thus you're talking about ONLY 136 REAL CHANGES out of 791,328 words. "Many people imply that the KING JAMES BIBLE is completely changed from what they had in 1611, that there are THOUSANDS of differences. You tell them about the MERE 136 CHANGES OF SUBSTANCE plus 285 MINOR CHANGES OF FORM ONLY"

SOURCE: Waite, The Four-fold Superiority of the King James Bible, Bible for Today, 900 Park Ave., Collingswood, NJ 08108



So when we Bible-loving, Christ-honoring, soulwinning, born-again Christians promote the 1611 King James Bible, it is with the understanding that those few typographical changes which have been made to our beloved Bible since 1611 have not changed the Bible. It is still the Authorized 1611 Version.

The widely accepted, [*Cambridge Edition*](#), is not a different Bible from our beloved Authorized 1611 King James Version in its inspiration, preservation or reliability. There is NOTHING wrong with the Authorized 1611 King James Bible. It contains no errors and only a Bible-corrupter would say otherwise. There have been several editions, but **no revisions** of the Authorized 1611 King James Bible. For a detailed analysis of the false claim of several revisions since 1611, please read [Haven't there been several revisions of the King James Bible since 1611?](#)